

FIG. 1 (Prior Art)




FIG. 2

10

## Systems Engineering

- [Acronyms](#)
- [Home](#)
- [SE Training](#)
- [Checklists](#)
- [Gloss](#)
- [Contacts](#)
- [Documentation](#)
- [Downloads](#)
- [Forum](#)
- [Help](#)
- [Instructions](#)
- [Introduction](#)
- [Manuals](#)
- [Metrics](#)
- [Models](#)
- [Order](#)
- [Passports](#)
- [Process Manual](#)
- [Realiser](#)
- [SEC Toolbox](#)
- [SE Mission](#)
- [SE SOPs](#)
- [SE Web](#)
- [Staff Dev](#)
- [Standards](#)
- [Templates](#)
- [Tools](#)
- [Workflows](#)

**Systems Engineering Toolbox**

SEWeb	IPD	IPC	ReqMgmt	Synthesis	Analysis
V & V	Metrics	Training	Contents	Manual	Search

**Table H-1 - Generic Practices Questionnaire**  
**FA 1.1 Define Stakeholder and System Level Requirements**

**Appraisal Questionnaire**

In the questionnaire, respondents indicate Yes, No, Not Applicable (N/A), Don't Know (D/K), or a Comment as appropriate for the practices. Respondents indicate the single most appropriate description for the Generic Attribute for each Focus Area.

Generic Practices FA 1.1 Define Stakeholder and System Level Requirements						
GP	Question	Yes	No	N/A	D/K	Comments
GP 2.1	Are recorded and approved plans and processes followed in implementing the Define Stakeholder and System Level Requirements Focus Area?					
GP 2.2	Is compliance with approved Define Stakeholder and System Level Requirements plans and processes verified, and is appropriate action taken when performance deviates from plan or when processes are not followed?					

Systems Engineering Capability Model (SECM) Focus Areas EIA-18-731

12

FIG. 3

20

22

24

## CAM\*V Findings

Program/Project: \_\_\_\_\_

Your Name or Code: \_\_\_\_\_

Please answer all questions to the best of your knowledge and return via E-mail using the button at the bottom of this list:

All results are confidential and protected by the assessment team. All compiled results are used by the company without assignment to individuals, teams or programs

Number	Model Nomenclature	Finding	(Strength or Weakness Alternate Practice (AP))	(Y) Agree with Finding, (N) Disagree, (NOP) No Opinion	(Y) Agree with Classification: Weakness, Strength or (N) Disagree with Classification	Comment
1	RM-CO1	Not all projects follow a written organizational policy for managing the system requirements allocated to software, through the Prime SDP	W	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Prime does not develop a SDP
2	RM-AB3	Not all projects have adequate resources and funding for managing the allocated requirements	W	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	I consider this a strength.
3	RM-AB4	Some On-the-Job training for requirements is not documented	W	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

Submit

Reset

FIG. 4